

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/521,299	Applicant(s) BARANOWSKA-KORTYLEWICZ ET AL.	
	Examiner ANNA PAGONAKIS	Art Unit 1614	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ANNA PAGONAKIS. (3)_____.

(2) George Dohmm. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 05 February 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: All claims.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants representative informed Examiner that nomenclature in the instant claims is indeed incorrect. Applicant's representative meant to use the compound structure on page 2 of the specification for examination on the merits.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Anna Pagonakis/
Examiner, Art Unit 1614

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action. Examiner's signature, if required